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Abstract

During the last decades, hundreds of species of Indo-Pacific origin from the Red Sea have traversed the Suez Canal and settled in the Eastern Mediterranean. Nowadays, *Amphistegina lobifera* Larsen, the most common epiphytic, symbiont-bearing large foraminifer, is known to be a successful immigrant that is widely distributed in the coastal ecosystems of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. In this study, we provide additional sites of occurrences on distributional range and settlement of *A. lobifera* in the Greek coastal ecosystems. The high relative abundances recorded are the result of very successful inhabitation of this species in the Aegean ecosystems and suggest that it has become significant part of the epiphytic foraminiferal fauna.
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Introduction

A large number of invaders of the Red Sea foraminifer species have been introduced into the Eastern Mediterranean, migrating through the Suez Canal (HYAMS et al., 2002; ZENETOS et al., 2005, 2008; STREFTARIS & ZENETOS, 2006; HYAMS-KAPHZAN et al., 2008; LANGER, 2008a; MERIÇ et al., 2008). Among these Lessepsian species, *Amphistegina lobifera* Larsen is the most abundant (e.g. CHERIF, 1970; AVŞAR, 1997; HOLLAUS & HOTTINGER, 1997; LANGER & HOTTINGER, 2000; HYAMS et al., 2002; TRIANTAPHYLLOU et al., 2005; KOUKOUSIOURA et al., 2006; GRUBER et al., 2007; LANGER, 2008a; MERIÇ et al., 2008). However, whether all Mediterranean amphisteginids are true Lessepsian migrants or of Atlantic origin remains still an open question (LANGER, 2008a).

*A. lobifera* is an oligotrophic large foraminifer that bears diatom symbionts worldwide (REISS & HOTTINGER, 1984; HALLOCK, 1988; LANGER &
HOTTINGER, 2000). Furthermore, it has a fossil record of roughly 50 million years (LOEBLICH & TAPPAN, 1988) and is known to have been a major carbonate producing foraminifera of tropical carbonate shelf sediments during that time (LANGER, 2008b). *A. lobifera* lives predominantly on hard and phytal substrates at low to mid depth in tropical and subtropical seas (HANSEN & BUCHARDT, 1977; HALLOCK, 1984; HOHENEGGER, 1994; HOHENEGGER, 1996; HALLOCK, 1999; HOHENEGGER et al., 1999; RENEMA & TROELSTRA, 2001) and limited experimental evidence suggest that it prefers mid to high light conditions (HALLOCK, 1981).

The Aegean Sea, with the geographical distribution of the various island chains, irregular bottom topography, river outflows from the mainland, as well as the high seasonality in sea surface temperatures, solar radiation, and surface water circulation, represents an important field area for study of modern foraminiferal assemblages. Already, previous studies (TRIANTAPHYLLOU et al., 2005; KOUKOUSIOURA et al., 2006) have used benthic foraminiferal distribution patterns as proxies of anthropogenic perturbation on the natural composition of Aegean coastal ecosystems.

In the present paper we provide additional data on the distributional range of *Amphistegina*, so as to understand its role as an immigrant species in the coastal ecosystems of the Aegean Sea.

**Material and Methods**

Algal samples were collected (Fig. 1, Table 1) during several sampling periods between 2001 and 2009 in the coastal ecosystems of southern Aegean Sea (Falasarna and Chrissi), central Aegean Sea (Porto Heli, Mavro Lithari, Vravron, Kalamas, Andros - Korthi and Kastro gulfs) and northern Aegean Sea (Katerini, N. Kallikratia and Avdira). Samples consisting of red (*Jania, Amphiroa* and *Corallina*), brown (*Halopteris* and *Padina*) and green algae (*Enteromorpha*), were collected by diving (sampled depths varying between 0.2-3m). Algal samples were carefully cut above the sediment surface to avoid contamination by sediment-dwelling species. Sample location, date of collection and relevant temperature and salinity data are presented in Table 1.

Samples were stored in high-walled plastic bowls and stained with an ethanol-Rose Bengal solution to distinguish between living (stained) and dead (unstained) foraminifera (WALTON, 1952; MURRAY & BOWSER, 2000). In the laboratory, the algal samples were sieved through the >63 μm size fraction and dried at 60°C. At least 300 living foraminifera were separated from the micropaleontological samples, picked under a Leica S4E stereozoom binocular microscope and identified following the generic classification of LOEBLICH & TAPPAN (1988).

Living specimens of *A. lobifera* were also examined using a Jeol JSM 6360 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), (University of Athens, Department of Historical Geology and Palaeontology), in order to observe external and internal test morphological details. The specimens for SEM investigations were rinsed in distilled water, dried in a desiccator, attached to a copper electron microscope stub using a double-sided adhesive tape and coated with gold.

Hierarchical Q-mode cluster analysis (Ward’s method and Euclidean distances as a similarity index) was used to estimate similarities in species composition between the sampling sites. Analysis was applied to 12 genera that exceeded 5% of the total assemblage in at least one sample. Hierarchi-
Table 1


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Date of collection</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>mean monthly Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>mean monthly Salinity (%)</th>
<th>Amphistegina lobifera (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chrissi</td>
<td>34.58</td>
<td>25.39</td>
<td>7/06</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>24.45</td>
<td>39.03</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falasarna</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>7/06</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>38.86</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porto Heli</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>23.06</td>
<td>9/07</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mavro Lithari</td>
<td>37.43</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>10/06</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>21.07</td>
<td>38.51</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vravron</td>
<td>37.56</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>5/06</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>18.26</td>
<td>38.38</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korthi Andros</td>
<td>37.46</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>8/01</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>38.18</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kastro Andros</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>8/01</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>38.18</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalamos</td>
<td>38.31</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>6/08</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>38.01</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katerini</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>6/08</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Kallikratia</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>6/08</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avdira</td>
<td>40.56</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>6/08</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>21.41</td>
<td>35.52</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: a Location of the study area in the Aegean Sea and principal circulation patterns of the surface waters, in winter (open arrows) and summer (closed arrows). Arrowed ovals denote cyclones (based on data from Lacombe & Tchernia, 1972; Theocharis & Georgopoulos, 1993; Poulos *et al.*, 1997). b Locations of sampling sites.
cal agglomerative clustering was performed using SPSS (version 10.1) statistical software.

All the samples and the SEM micrographs are kept in the collections of the Museum of Paleontology and Geology of the University of Athens.

Results

Temperature and Salinity

During the samplings the surface water showed a mean monthly temperature higher than 18.26 °C, whereas salinity varied between 35.52‰ and 39.03‰ (Table 1). The highest temperature was observed in July (24.45 °C) at Chrissi and the lowest (18.26 °C) in May at Vravron. Salinity increased towards south, where surface higher values were found at the southernmost sampling site (Chrissi). The high river discharges into semi-closed gulfs of the northern Aegean Sea (Fig. 1) caused low salinity at the sampling sites of Avdira, Katerini and N. Kallikratia.

Foraminiferal community structure

Benthic foraminifera were rich in all the studied samples. In general, the foraminiferal assemblages were dominated by genera with calcareous tests (hyaline and porcelaneous).

The porcelaneous forms (Fig. 2a, b) comprise an important component of the fauna, comprising about 50% of the total assemblages and are mainly represented by members of the genera *Peneroplis, Quinqueloculina, Miliolinella, Sorites* and *Triloculina*. The hyaline taxa (Fig. 2a, b) generally showed high relative abundances (comprising about 40% of the total assemblages) and are represented mostly by the genera *Amphistegina, Rosalina, Elphidium, Ammonia, As*
terigerina and Cymbaloporetta. Agglutinated components (Fig. 2a, b) are rare with low frequencies (less than 15% of the foraminiferal assemblage), mainly represented by Textularia species.

The most abundant species is the hyaline A. lobifera Larsen, which is the dominant foraminifer on the coasts at the southern and central Aegean sites. According to Fig. 2b, the highest relative abundances were observed at the sampling sites of Kalamos and Vravron where it composed 71.2% and 55.5% of the foraminiferal assemblages respectively. In the northern sites the species show a dramatic abundance decline with very low frequencies (0.3% of the foraminiferal assemblages at the sampling site of N. Kallikratia) or totally absent (Avdira and Katerini).

**Q-mode statistical analysis**

Q-mode hierarchical cluster analysis was applied to determine the degree of similarity in foraminiferal species composition between the studied sites. The resulting dendrogram (Fig. 3) revealed two main groups: Cluster I comprised of three sites (N. Kallikratia, Katerini and Avdira), whereas Cluster II was in turn subdivided into three subclusters: IIa, IIB and IIc. Cluster IIa groups Chrissi, Porto Heli and Falasarna. Cluster IIb includes Korthi, Kastro and Mavro Lithari, whereas Vravron and Kalamos clustered in Cluster IIc.

Comparing the distribution of the clusters it becomes obvious that the assemblage differentiation is associated with the geographic distribution of the sampling sites. Cluster I separates the sites from the northern Aegean Sea. The foraminiferal assemblage is characterized by very low proportions or absence of A. lobifera. Quinqueloculina is present with the highest relative abundances (ranging between 35 and 45%), whereas Elphidium and Ammonia are significant components with relative high frequencies (up to 10%; Fig. 4). Cluster IIc and Cluster IIb are located in the central Aegean.

![Dendrogram using Ward Method](image)

**Fig. 3:** Dendrogram resulting from a Q-mode hierarchical cluster analysis (Ward’s method and Euclidean distances as a similarity index) based on a correlation matrix of the statistically important foraminiferal species.

Sea. The foraminiferal assemblage of Cluster IIc is dominated by *A. lobifera* (with relative abundance up to 50%), whereas this species represents 35% on average of Cluster IIb (Fig. 4). In the latter assemblage *Quinqueloculina* ranges between 13-20%, whereas *Rosalina* and *Miliolinella* occur with high relative abundances of approximately 10% (Fig. 4). The localities from the east Peloponnesus and the southern Aegean Sea correspond to Cluster IIa. The foraminiferal assemblage is distinguished by the highest frequencies of *Peneroplis* (35% on average). *A. lobifera* ranges between 26 and 43% of the foraminiferal assemblage (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

The present study shows that the main factor that determines the distribution of summer epiphytal benthic foraminiferal assemblages in the Aegean Sea is the geographical location (mainly latitude and temperature).

The assemblages include diverse forms of the morphotypes recognized by LANGER (1993). In the south, the permanently motile grazer *Peneroplis* (type D; LANGER, 1993) and *A. lobifera* actually prevail in the communities; *A. lobifera* dominates, followed by the permanently motile grazers *Quinqueloculina* and...
Miliolinella (type D; LANGER, 1993) and the temporarily attached Rosalina (type B; LANGER, 1993) in the central Aegean. In the north, A. lobifera is decreasing against Quinqueloculinula (type D; LANGER, 1993) and the stress-tolerant motile species Elphidium (type C; LANGER, 1993) and Ammonia, which may characterize areas of high biological oxygen demand (HALLOCK et al., 2003). Consequently, A. lobifera is a significant component of the epiphytic foraminiferal fauna in Greek coastal ecosystems.

A. lobifera LARSEN 1976 belongs to the family Amphisteginidae Cushman and the genus Amphistegina d’ Orbigny. This species is a flat trochosiral, biconvex, lenticular, large foraminifer (Plate I). The test is characterized by thick-walls, often globular, with the spiral side being more pronounced than the umbilical side. The main distinctive features of the species are the lobate septa visible on both sides of large adult tests. Younger individuals do not show these strong lobes, and very small specimens are extremely similar to the related species A. lessonii (HOHENEGGER et al., 1999). It is a well-known algal symbiont-bearing benthic foraminifer that lives in warm, clear and nutrient deficient environments (HALLOCK, 1988). The thick-walled test allows this species to live in the highest light-energy levels (HALLOCK, 1981). Several studies (HUGHES, 1977; MURRAY, 1991; HALLOCK, 1996, 1998, 2000) proposed this species as indicator for coral reef water quality without fluctuations of salinity and oxygen and TRIANTAPHYLLOU et al. (2005) considered it as a bioindicator of coastal health in the Aegean Sea.

Larger foraminifera have developed complex internal structures adapted to symbiotic relationships (LEE & HALLOCK, 1987; LEE, 1998), as symbiosis can be highly advantageous. The host-symbiont system plays an important role in supplying carbon for photosynthesis by the algal symbionts (TER KUILE et al., 1987) and enhancement of foraminiferal calcification (DUGUAY, 1983; TER KUILE, 1991; TOLER & HALLOCK, 1998; EREZ, 2003). HALLOCK (1981), VÉNEC-PEYRÉ (1991) and HALLOCK et al. (2003) suggest probable advantages of large foraminiferal feeding from photosynthetic symbionts when dissolved inorganic nutrients and particulate food sources are scarce. In the studied samples from the Aegean Sea, the SEM observations indicated the presence of pennate diatom symbionts in specimens of A. lobifera (Plate I). These specimens are characterized by a visible green to golden-brown symbiont color.

The geographical distribution of A. lobifera comprises the shallow water environments in the Indian, Pacific and Atlantic Oceans (LANGER & HOTTINGER 2000). According to MORARIU & HOTTINGER (1988), LANGER & HOTTINGER, (2000) the occurrence of living amphisteginids is delimited by the 14 °C winter isotherm. The Mediterranean occurrences of the amphisteginids are limited to the Levantine Basin and to large parts of the coast of Africa (LANGER & HOTTINGER 2000; LANGER, 2008a). During migration via the Suez Canal (Lesepsian), their distribution generally follows both clockwise and anti-clockwise directions (LANGER, 2008a) and appears to express rapid expansion in the Mediterranean Sea. A. lobifera has been recorded in Greece (CHERIF, 1970; TRIANTAPHYLLOU et al., 2005; KOUKOUSIOURA et al., 2006) and Cyprus (LANGER & HOTTINGER, 2000), Israel (HYAMS et al., 2002; GRUBER et al., 2007), Lebanon (MONCHARMONT ZEI, 1968), the Mediterranean coasts of Turkey.
(AVŞAR, 1997; MERIÇ et al., 2008), the Sea of Marmara (MERIÇ et al., 2005) and on the coasts of the Maltese Islands (YOKES et al., 2007).

This species has been included among the invasive species in the Mediterranean that cause change to the habitat type and coastal structure in the eastern Mediterranean (STREFTARIS & ZENETOS, 2006). However, A. lobifera together with the rest of the larger symbiont-bearing species is considered to be a major carbonate producer.

Plate I: Amphistegina lobifera Larsen 1a ventral view, 1b aperture details, 1c dorsal view, Andros site; 2 ventral view, Vravron site; 3a ventral view, 3b dorsal view, Chrissi site; 4 details of internal structure; 5 symbiont diatoms within A. lobifera marginal canal system 6a pennate symbiont diatom within A. lobifera host, Andros site.
in the shallow water and reef environments precipitating up to 2kg/m²/year (LANGER et al., 1997; LANGER, 2008b), therefore it successfully provides enough sediment for receding eastern Mediterranean beaches.

Although apparently spreading from the Suez Canal (e.g. LANGER & HOTTINGER, 2000), amphisteginids have also been found in Pliocene Mediterranean deposits (DI BELLA et al., 2005), obviously representing Atlantic migrants after the Messinian salinity crisis (LANGER & HOTTINGER, 2000), as Miocene occurrences are unlikely to have survived this event. Nowadays the Atlantic coastline off Gibraltar is barren of amphisteginids (LEVY et al., 1995) as temperatures are too low. However, according to LANGER (2008a), already in 1839 D’ORBINGY identified viable populations of living amphisteginids that most probably used the Canary Islands in order to repeatedly invade the Mediterranean Sea. It is therefore questionable if all the Mediterranean amphisteginids are true Lessepsian migrants or of different origin, such as the Atlantic (LANGER, 2008a). In this study, additional sites of occurrences were found on distributional range and settlement of the alien species A. lobifera in Greek coastal ecosystems.

The high relative abundances recorded are the result of the very successful inhabitation of this species in the Aegean ecosystems and suggest that it has become a significant part of the epiphytic foraminiferal fauna. In general, larger foraminifera are K strategists that slowly achieve populations due to long individual life, but in shallow water they become r strategists that opportunistically increase in number when conditions are favourable (MURRAY, 2008). Therefore the intense presence of Amphistegina suggests oligotrophic environmental conditions, yet it also provides evidence of a change in the life strategy of the species in Aegean coastal environments. Detailed future studies of annual population dynamics of A. lobifera on a seasonal basis will reveal its role in the different coastal ecosystems of the Aegean Sea and its impact on ‘indigenous’ foraminiferal faunas.
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